INCOMING TELEGRAM

Department of State

ACTION COPY

53~H Action

FROM: BONN

NO:

Control: 3215

Rec'd: MARCH 5,

2:24 P.M.

Info RMR

EUR

TO: Secretary of State

SS W

1936, MARCH 5, 6 P.M.

G SP C

L

SENT DEPARTMENT 1936, REPEATED INFORMATION PARIS 659, LONDON

470. BERLIN 653. MOSCOW 227. USAREUR 480.

INR H

PARIS PASS USCINCEUR THURSTON AND WEST

IRC

LIMITED DISTRIBUTION

CIA OSD

AIR

ARMY NAVY

REF DEPTEL 1985.

1 I AM SOMEWHAT CONFUSED WHAT DEPT ENVISAGES IN WAY OF "IDENTIFICATION OF ALLIED VEHICLES USING AUTOBAHN." I HAD INTERPRETED DEPTEL 1792 FEBRUARY 11 TO MEAN CONCURRENCE MY SUGGESTION THAT NO DOCUMENTATION BE SHOWN EAST GERMANS BUT THAT, INSTEAD, IDENTIFICATH ON "BE ACHIEVED BY AND CONFINED TO THE PAINTING OR AFFIXING OF A PLAINLY LEGIBLE SIGN ON EACH ALLIED UNIT" FEBRUARY 10), HOWEVER, FINAL PARA DEPTEL 1985, BY REJECTING IDEA OF PERMITTING GDR PERSONNEL TO STAMP TRAVEL DOCUMENTS. SEEMS ENVISAGE SHOWING THEM SUCH DOCUMENTS. WOULD APPRECIATE CLARIFICATION THIS POINT, ALSO CONFIRMATION OUR ASSUMPTION THAT SAME TYPE IDENTIFICATION WOULD BE USED FOR MILITARY TRAINS AS FOR "ALLIED VEHICLES USING AUTOBAHN". DEPT'S MESSAGES ON SUBJECT. INCLUDING MEMORANDUM GIVEN BRITISH AND FRENCH AMBASSADORS (DEPT'S G-397, FEBRUARY 19) REFER ONL: TO VEHICLES WITH NO MENTION MILITARY TRAINS.

ALTHOUGH I AGREE IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURED SHOULD SE $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathsf{OUT}}$ soonest with British and French, believe in $\mathsf{ORD}_{\mathsf{ECC}}$ POSSIBLE MISUNDERSTANDING IT PREFERABLE FIRST OBTAIN AGREEMENTS ON US SIDE WHAT IDENTIFICATION WE INTEND PROPOSE. BEQUEST BERLIN SUBMIT SOONEST RESULTS CURRENT STUDY (BERLINUS 726 TO DEPT FEBRUARY 24) ... BRUCE

REPRODUCTION FROM THIS COPY IS PROHIBITED. RECORD COPY • This copy must